

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,177 07/27/2001		Warren Williamson	BIOP-02	7549
26875 75	90 03/20/2006		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER			MARMOR II, CHARLES ALAN	
441 VINE STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			3736	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/890,177	WILLIAMSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles A. Marmor, II	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communica	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 December 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>138-239</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are reject						
7) Claim(s) is/are obje						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>138-239</u> are subj	ect to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
220 1.10 diagnos dotailos emes dellos for a not or tito dottinos depido not todorrea.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Preliminary Amendment filed December 17,
 The Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 1-137 and the addition of New claims 216-239. Claims 138-239 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 132-203 and 223-229, drawn to histologic, microtome-sectionable tissue support structures.

Group II, claims 204-212, 216-222 and 230-235, drawn to methods for preparing a tissue sample for analysis.

Group III, claims 213-215 and 236-238, drawn to an automated machine and method of automating a tissue sample processing and embedding procedure.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is an

Art Unit: 3736

apparatus for supporting and/or embedding a tissue sample while the special technical feature of Group II are techniques for preparing tissue samples for analysis.

The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is an apparatus for supporting and/or embedding a tissue sample while the special technical feature of Group III is the automation of preparing tissue samples for analysis.

The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group II is the manual technique of preparing tissue samples for analysis while the special technical feature of Group III is the automation of preparing tissue samples for analysis.

4. A telephone call was made to Kevin G. Rooney on March 14, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,177

Art Unit: 3736

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Marmor, II whose telephone number is (571) 272-4730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,177 Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles A. Marmor, II
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3736

cam

March 14, 2006